

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/885,241 | ,241 06/19/2001 Bert L. | | P2300CIP | 4994 |
| 24739 | 7590 12/15/2003 EXAMINER | | | INER |
| CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY | | | TRAN, PABLO N | |
| PO BOX 187 AROMAS, C | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Ancomato, est 3300 | | | 2685 | 1 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 09/885,241 | FRANSIS, BERT L. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Pablo N Tran | 2685 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron a cause the application to become ABANDONI | imely filed lys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. | • | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | 0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | Common Hote the attached Office | 77.000H 0F10HH F10-132. | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Applicat | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application) | | | | |
| since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. | st sentence of the specification o | r in an Application Data Sheet. | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific | | | | | | |
| reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | J | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/885,241

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 09/803,496. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both Application disclose such similar limitations of a conversion integrated circuit (IC) for RF signals has a first interface for transmitting or receiving a first number of distinct RF frequency bands in a broadband spectrum, a plurality of circuit elements coupled to the first interface, one for each of the frequency bands, for up-conversion or down-conversion of the frequency bands to and from an intermediate frequency (IF), a second interface coupled to said circuit elements for receiving and transmitting at the intermediate frequency (IF), and a

Application/Control Number: 09/885,241

Art Unit: 2685

second number of on-chip voltage-controlled oscillators (VCOs) coupled to the circuit elements for generating local-oscillator (LO) signals to the circuit elements for conversion between the IF frequency and the receive or transmit frequency for each band. The IC is characterized in that the second number is smaller than the first number.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2685

4. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINES

December 13, 2003

AU26 85